

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,156	12/05/2001	Hiroshi Tomaru	Q67419	2261
75	90 03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			MARKS, CHRISTINA M	
MACPEAK & Pennsylvania A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			3713	
_	,		D. T. L. L. V. T. 02/05/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/002,156	TOMARU ET AL.				
navicory neucli	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	C. Marks	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed ar	mendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	:(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered an	d an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	, ,					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	Teresa Walberg pervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700	CMM Comm				

ار1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's request for reconsideration has been reviewed by the Examiner but is not persuasive and does not put the case in a state where the art is clearly overcome. The Examiner contends that Dickinson does teach a special game as the game is named after a player and thus is special or distinguished from another named game. The Examiner also maintains that the timing of registration is not critical and would be obviated. The teachings of Dickinson are not relied on for the structure, but for the concept of naming a game after a high achieving player, not their timing. Lastly, the Examiner is not convinced the combination of references was in error as the features relied on are obvious to combine for the motivations given.